Diagnosis of Bruxism Based on Polymeric Piezoelectric Sensors and Remote Communication
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Abstract- Active materials are capable of responding in a controlled way to different external physical or chemical stimulus by changing some of their properties. These materials can be used to design and develop sensors, actuators and multifunctional systems with a large number of applications for developing medical devices (for both surgery and implants).

On the other hand, Bruxism is a health problem consisting on grinding or tightly clenching the upper and lower teeth, and both phenomena lead to wear of the teeth and produce a noise during the night that is sufficiently loud to disturb the sleep of anyone sharing the bedroom. The tension produced also causes problems in the muscles, tissues and other structures surrounding the jaw, ear pain, headaches, lesions to the teeth and disorders in the jaw joints. For an early, rapid, effective and economical diagnosis of bruxism, we propose the use of instrumented splints based on the use of active materials, in order to detect and record the intensity and duration of interdentally pressure episodes.

This paper introduces the design, manufacture and testing of using instrumented splint for diagnosing bruxism. The contribution of this work basically focus on using piezoelectric polymeric sensors, taking advantage of their reduced thickness, and avoiding the alteration of the patient’s bite. In addition, the system allows a quantitative assessment of intraoral pressure that consequently allows the diagnosis of bruxism behaviour at an early stage, aiming to perform corrective actions before irreversible dental wear appears. The first trials performed allowed to demonstrate the feasibility of the system, which also includes wireless communication capabilities for improving telemedicine tasks.

I. INTRODUCTION

Intraoral pressure sensing and measurement have several applications for dental, maxillofacial surgery, odontology, and especially for the diagnosis and monitoring of dental and occlusal pathologies. In addition, it is also used for the evaluation of post-surgical evolution and benchmarking alternative treatments.

Due to the information derived –from such measurements– can be efficiently used in clinical activities, the development of precise and repetitive sensing systems is especially valuable.

In the past two decades, several devices (and related patents) have been developed for the aforementioned tasks, allowing intraoral (almost always interdental) pressure sensing using different mechanical and electronic sensing principles.

Reviewing specialized literature, the next subsection presents the most relevant work in this field, and the contributions of this paper.

A. Literature Review

The use of extensiometric gauges has been reported and validated in-vivo animal models, such as primates [1], porcine animals [2] and dogs [3]. These studies preceded the first in vivo trials in humans or related to veterinary surveys. In other cases, several devices have been used to assess the degree of patient satisfaction with dental prostheses, both fixed and removable, in order to validate therapeutic solutions and to propose improvements mentioned on [4], [5] and [6].

Among the most important surveys in relation to intraoral pressure sensing in humans, the bruxism and temporomandibular joint pathologies are the most present. Bruxism is a health problem that involves grinding or tightly clenching the upper and lower teeth. The resulting tension causes problems in the muscles, tissues and other structures surrounding the jaw; ear pain; headaches; lesions to the teeth and disorders of the jaw joints. All of these symptoms are collectively described as temporomandibular joint (TMJ) disorders or craniomandibular dysfunction pain syndrome.

The phenomenon was introduced in the dental literature as “bruxomania” in 1907, by Pietkiewicz, who described the habit of teeth grinding. The term “bruxism” was introduced by Frohman in 1931, and in 1936, Miller proposed the use of the term “bruxomania” for daytime grinding and “bruxism” for night-time grinding. The terms “traumatic neuralgia”, “Karolyi effect” and “occlusive habit neurosis” have all been used to refer to some form of teeth grinding or clenching.

According to studies achieved by the Canadian Sleep Society [7], [8], [9], nocturnal bruxism affects 8% of adults and 14% of children. A decrease in the population affected is apparently related to the age, in which about 3% of individuals over 60 have been affected.

However, for other researchers [10], [11], prevalence is about 25%.

In terms of gender differences in the affected population, there is no general agreement since the literature reports contradictory data.
Measurements of intraoral pressure become especially relevant when are related to the study of bruxism, not just for evaluating the degree of such pathology but also for monitoring the evolution of patients and comparing different possible treatments.

Special attention should be paid to results from some studies [12], [13], [14] that have tried to offer a quantitative definition of bruxism. Results from Nishigawa’s study on the bite force produced during bruxism episodes suggested values as high as 1100 N, exceeding the maximum voluntary bite force. Pressures on teeth surfaces can reach 40 MPa, sufficient to cause alarming levels of wear and even tooth breakage. In terms of the duration of bruxism episodes, an average about 7 seconds has been reported.

It is important to point out that everyone subconsciously clenches his or her teeth at some time during the day, and even this may be considered bruxism activity. However, the term bruxism is only correctly used when the duration and intensity of this activity have a bearing on dental wear and the development of TMJ problems.

B. Contribution

As previously mentioned, one of the main problems associated with the traditional diagnosis of bruxism is that it is frequently made when the teeth are already highly worn and the prognosis of the illness is more severe. In order to make an earlier, more rapid, more effective and more economical diagnosis of bruxism, our research team proposes the use of instrumented splints for detecting and recording the intensity and duration of interdental pressure episodes.

We explain the design, manufacture and trials of our instrumented splint for diagnosing bruxism activity, according to Spanish patent initial objectives [15]. The splint offers additional telemedicine capabilities, such as remote patient monitoring and control. The miniaturised sensing device allows for a comfortable intra-mouth patient experience, does not cause alteration of the bite and improves the quality of final measurements.

The use of a wireless external interrogator/reader also allows the effective collection, treatment and study of the desired information in a more convenient way than with previous prototypes [16], [17] and the devices used in previously reported studies (both in animal models and in humans).

The splint was developed by researchers from the Universidad Politécnica de Madrid within the “FEMAB Project: Micro-instrumented Anti-bruxism Splint” subsidised by the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science as part of project PROFIT (Promotion of Technical Research), CIT-020400-2005-17. Likewise, this work has been carried out in collaboration between Universidad Politécnica de Madrid and Ibex Estética Dental S.L.

II. ELECTROACTIVE POLYMERS AS SENSORS

Traditionally discharge splints or protection devices are used to treat bruxism and prevent the associated dental wear. As a diagnostic device we propose introducing pressure sensors into a splint so that patient’s bite episodes can be recorded and the extent of their pathology be assessed.

Polyvinylidene fluoride or PVDF -(CH2-CF2)n and its co-polymers such as poly(vinylidenefluoride-trifluoroethylene) or P(VDF-TrFE), are the Piezoelectric polymers with the largest number of industrial applications.

They posses partial crystallinity with an inactive amorphous phase and an elastic modulus between 1 and 10 GPa. Their use as actuators is limited by the need to apply high electric fields (around 20 V/μm for a 3% deformation), but their use as pressure sensors is taking the place of traditionally used piezoelectric ceramic materials.

The use of this type of sensor was considered because of its reduced thickness, which does not cause any alteration to the patient’s bite and because of its greater resistance and sensitivity compared to ceramic piezoelectrics. To make the sensors, we took PVDF 40 μm thick sheets from Piezotech S.A. with Au-Pt coated electrodes. These sheets were cut, joined to the connecting wires and suitably encapsulated to protect them and be inserted into the splint (see manufacturing process). The sensors obtained are shown in Fig.1.

Fig. 2-a shows the piezoelectric sensor layout. The charge displacement produced when a force is applied to the PVDF piezoelectric sensor can be represented using the equivalent electric circuit depicted in Fig. 2-b.

The validation of such behavior model, by comparing simulation results and “in vitro” trials, has previously been reported [16], [17].

Fig. 3 shows the first real trials carried out with the piezoelectric sensor connected directly to the oscilloscope when it was subjected to levels of pressure, with help of a pneumatic workbench. However, signal conditioning is required, as explained in the following chapter, for better “in vivo” results.

Fig 1. Piezoelectric sensors manufactured at the Product Development Laboratory of Universidad Politécnica de Madrid.
III. SIGNAL CONDITIONING

A. Charge amplifier

In one of the most typical working modes for piezoelectric sensors, voltage between metallized sides of the sensor is forced to be 0 V and the generated charge is transferred to a known value condenser, where tension is measured \( V = Q/C \).

Such configuration corresponds to a charge amplifier, whose conditioning circuit is developed by using operational amplifiers and provides a low impedance output tension, which can be subsequently processed easily (due to being more stable against disturbances and noise).

The direct measurement of tension between metallized sides of the sensor raises some problems related to the fact that PVDF sensor’s capacitance cannot easily be controlled, as it depends greatly on sensor’s encapsulation and on the spatial configuration of surrounding elements and connections, as well as on the physical properties of the sensor film itself. Those variables would lead the system with high dispersion.

Therefore a charge amplifier was selected in order to avoid the explained problems, and providing very positive results, with the both first prototypes and final device. Nominal sensitivity of the combination “sensor – charge amplifier” has been set to 1.1 mV/N and nominal measurement range is 0 to 850 N. The conditioning circuit, with dimensions of 10.3 mm \( \times \) 8.9 mm \( \times \) 1.0 mm, is mounted between the sensor and the control and communication board.

Due to size limitations within the mouth, miniaturization was promoted and commercial integrates were used, with a printed circuit of just 0.2 mm width. Additional miniaturization can be achieved for obtaining a system with final size similar to a tooth, but it would require extra funding and manufacturing technologies not advisable for prototype series.

Another design goal was to reduce battery consume to a minimum. In the “sleep mode” board consumes around 4 \( \mu \)A. Such board is powered by a tension of 1.8 V generated by a LDO regulator incorporated to the control and communication board explained below.

B. Control and communication board

This board, with dimensions of 28.2 mm \( \times \) 18.0 mm \( \times \) 4 mm, contains all the intraoral splint electronics except for the charge amplifier. It includes the following subsystems:

- **Anti-aliasing filter** for both prototypes, and the final design, a 2\textsuperscript{nd} order low-pass filter, with a phase error of 0.05\(^{\circ}\) and a cut-off frequency of 9 Hz, has been developed using a Sallen-Key cell.

- **Control, communication, pre-processing and digitalization module** based on the use of a Bluetooth WT32 from BlueGiga, developed from the BlueCore 5-nucleus from CSR. This module allows signal digitalization and pre-processing, communication’s control and battery handle, which has promoted a high level of system integration.

- **Supply of the digital I/O and the charge amplifier**: An LDO precise regulator has been chosen, for promoting low consume. Both inner and outer regulators of the module allow to use the whole range of Li-Ion batteries, from 4.2 V to 3.1 V, optimizing autonomy.

IV. COMMUNICATION WITH A REMOTE DEVICE

The system objective is to capture sensor response data, process and send them to a remote device for treatment and analysis of the information related to bruxista behaviour.

Communication between intramouth and external devices is based on the Bluetooth Standard, which allows interchange of information between the instrumented splint and the use of patients’ mobile phone, with a target distance of around 30 meters.

It consists of a bidirectional communication between both devices, where both interchange information without intrusion of external devices. There is no possibility for external wireless nets to interfere with the devices, as the system is designed with a personalized information channel between patient’s splint and mobile phone.
The system can be analyzed considering its three main capabilities:

- Data capture from the sensing system that detects and conditions the signal generated due to the bruxist episode.
- Wireless communication between the intramouth Bluetooth communication module and the external device (patient's mobile phone).
- Data storage and treatment within the mobile phone for subsequent medical/clinical study.

In this way we can study the system by dividing it into two main components:

- Microelectronic system for data gathering from the sensing system through an A/D converter. The device includes communication capabilities via Bluetooth.
- Data storage and treatment with help of an “ad-hoc” control software installed in the mobile phone.

V. TRIALS AND RESULTS

Several tests were conducted “in vivo” to verify the signal conditioning system functionalities. With these tests we collect sufficient and representative data of the bite forces of twelve volunteers.

All the tests consisted of four different types of experiments. Indications given to each volunteer before each test is summarized below, taking into account all cases that the state change between tightening and loosening was determined by visual cues on a computer screen, given by the management software designed for that purpose.

- Measurement of maximal bite force (Fmax): It consisted of jaw clenching as hard as possible. Three measurements were performed with intervals of rest between them.
- Measurement of “grinding” episodes: We request jaw clenching to volunteers, moving it sideways, bottom teeth rubbing against the upper. Nine measurements were performed with different force magnitudes, ie, three of them with a 50% of their maximum force (measured in previous test), other three with 25% and three more with 12% of that maximum force.
- Measurement of “clenching” episodes: Each volunteer pressed his jaw, applying pressure by joining the upper teeth against the bottom. Nine measurements were performed with different force magnitudes, three to 50%, three with 25% and three with 12% of the maximum force measured previously.
- Measurement of “tapping” episodes: In this case, volunteers were suggested to "beat" their teeth with the maximum frequency possible for each person. Three measurements were performed with different force magnitudes: with 50%, another with 25% and the last with 12% of the maximum force measured previously.

Fig. 5 provides a graphic example obtained from experiments. It can be seen: on the left side the signals obtained, plotted in absolute terms and, on the right side, the same signals plotted in relative terms respect to its maximum force. On both sides are presented both measured signals with the right sensor (blue) and the left sensor (red).

Once verified the correct functioning of signal acquisition and processing system, such signals were analyzed and developed an algorithm to detect events that may be considered "bruxists” events. This algorithm is based on the following approaches, according to Fig. 6:

- If the magnitude of bite force in an episode is high (F_H), a short duration (duration = t_1) should be detected.
- If the magnitude of bite force is medium (F_M), the system can detect whether the bruxist event duration is a bit larger than the previous (duration = t_2).
- If the magnitude of bite force is low (F_L), the detection is made only if duration of episode is sufficiently greater than the previous two (duration = t_3).

The criterion for determining whether the magnitudes are high, medium or low, and the corresponding episodes durations, will be given by a dentist.

To implement this algorithm we used a summation of samples for different periods of time (short, medium and long) and verify if this sum reaches or exceeds a certain threshold, defined by values of force considered previously (high, medium or low). According to this and based on Figure 6:
Activate alarm for event with high magnitude and short duration if it satisfies (1).

\[ \sum_{t(k-n_1)}^{t(k)} f(k) > n_1 F_H \]  

Where:
- \( f(k) \): magnitude of bite force sampled signal.
- \( n_1 \): number of samples corresponding to \( t_1 \) (\( t_1 \) defined by dentist)
- \( F_H \): Value of high-strength threshold (\( F_H \) defined by dentist)

Activate alarm for event with medium magnitude and medium duration if it satisfies (2).

\[ \sum_{t(k-n_2)}^{t(k)} f(k) > n_2 F_M \]  

Where:
- \( f(k) \): magnitude of bite force sampled signal.
- \( n_2 \): number of samples corresponding to \( t_2 \) (\( t_2 \) defined by dentist)
- \( F_M \): Value of medium-strength threshold (\( F_M \) defined by dentist)

Activate alarm for event with low magnitude and large duration if it satisfies (3).

\[ \sum_{t(k-n_3)}^{t(k)} f(k) > n_3 F_L \]  

Where:
- \( f(k) \): magnitude of bite force sampled signal.
- \( n_3 \): number of samples corresponding to \( t_3 \) (\( t_3 \) defined by dentist)
- \( F_L \): Value of low-strength threshold (\( F_L \) defined by dentist)

The software developed from this algorithm runs inside the Bluetooth module. For testing it, bite forces were applied on an instrumented splint and data were collected on the mobile phone through a Bluetooth connection. The first graph of Fig. 7 represents the magnitude of applied force, while the other graphs show the time the alarms were activated according to rules of the algorithm, which can be associated with a mobile phone to three different types of stimuli, i.e., vibration, light or sound. It may be noted that each of the alarms is triggered (from 0 to 1) according to the time that force has been applied on sensor.

Once the correct behaviour of the detection algorithm was validated, several trials were carried out, including the incorporation to the mobile telephone software of a second threshold corresponding to 60% of ideal maximal bite force.

Using the pneumatic bite simulator (shown in Fig. 8) episodes including two “bites” were simulated, at first supplying 2.0 bar to the pneumatic muscles during 2 seconds for each bite. Subsequently supply pressure and bite length were increased. Trials include combinations of 2.0, 2.5, 3.0 and 4.0 bar, together with 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 seconds of application time. Such applied pressures have been selected according to simulator’s geometry, so as to produce forces on the PVDF sensors similar to those typical of bruxist episodes (around 300 N to 1200 N).

The software developed from this algorithm runs inside the Bluetooth module. For testing it, bite forces were applied on an instrumented splint and data were collected on the mobile phone through a Bluetooth connection. The first graph of Fig. 7 represents the magnitude of applied force, while the other graphs show the time the alarms were activated according to rules of the algorithm, which can be associated with a mobile phone to three different types of stimuli, i.e., vibration, light or sound. It may be noted that each of the alarms is triggered (from 0 to 1) according to the time that force has been applied on sensor.

Once the correct behaviour of the detection algorithm was validated, several trials were carried out, including the incorporation to the mobile telephone software of a second threshold corresponding to 60% of ideal maximal bite force.

Using the pneumatic bite simulator (shown in Fig. 8) episodes including two “bites” were simulated, at first supplying 2.0 bar to the pneumatic muscles during 2 seconds for each bite. Subsequently supply pressure and bite length were increased. Trials include combinations of 2.0, 2.5, 3.0 and 4.0 bar, together with 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 seconds of application time. Such applied pressures have been selected according to simulator’s geometry, so as to produce forces on the PVDF sensors similar to those typical of bruxist episodes (around 300 N to 1200 N).
Table 1 shows the register of alarm activation during the trials, according to magnitude and length of bite force applied (“X” indicates activation during a specific episode and “0” indicates no activation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applied Force [N]</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>Duration [s]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>550.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>687.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>825.0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI. MAIN CONCLUSIONS

The complete development of a splint to assess intrabucal pressure and diagnose bruxism and other occlusive pathologies has been presented.

What should be highlighted is the possibility to obtain a device that will enable intrabucal pressure to be quantitatively assessed and bruxism behaviour to be diagnosed at an early stage, so that corrective actions can be programmed before the appearance of irreversible dental wear.

Additionally, the presented telecommunication capabilities due to inclusion of Bluetooth modules as part of the system’s electronics, introduces important advances in the field of remote control and telemedicine tasks, related to the described pathology.

We believe the results can be used for the development of new medical devices for diagnostic and treatment of several pathologies, which would benefit from pressure sensing together with the possibilities provided by remote communication.
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